



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

CORRESPONDENCE.

MY DEAR DR. TRIPLETT:

In a letter recently received, Prof. Dessoir takes exception to the statement in your letter to the Editors in the October number of the *Journal* "that several citations have been credited to Dessoir that are to be found in Jastrow's original paper," and points out that he gives Prof. Jastrow credit by referring in foot notes to two of his papers, and further that, in two out of the three instances in which you refer to his own work, you cite passages to the formulation of which he was certainly led by his own personal observations. In the third instance you have apparently been misled by a translation which is defective at this point, or possibly by a misplaced reference sign which occurs at the same place in the original, if you consulted that. The matter is perhaps a small one, but in view of your statement above quoted, some further explanation would seem desirable.

Yours very truly,
E. B. TITCHENER.

MY DEAR PROF. TITCHENER:

I gladly avail myself of the opportunity of your letter to correct the careless statement in my letter to the *Journal*. It is true that for "several" I should have written "one;" I regret to have been thus inaccurate. In this one instance, however, the wording of Prof. Dessoir's and Prof. Jastrow's articles is practically identical, and the inference was natural that the earlier writer should have the credit. Reference to Prof. Dessoir's original paper shows the translation to be defective, as you say—though at the time of writing I was not aware of it—and also the misplacement of the reference, for which of course I am hardly responsible.

Yours very truly,
NORMAN TRIPLETT.